

MINI-MAX APPROXIMATIONS OF THE
DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR A CLASS OF SECOND
ORDER ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Peter A. McCoy
Mathematics Department
United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland 21402

ABSTRACT: Let W be a holomorphic solution of the equation

$$\partial^2 W / \partial z \partial \bar{z} = A(|z|^2) |z| \partial W / \partial |z|, \quad |z| < 1$$

that is continuous on $|z| < 1$. And let the first $n-1$ tangential derivatives of \bar{W} be continuous with the $(n-1)$ st. satisfying a first order Hölder condition. Then determine the mini-max error β_{nm} and the extremal solution for the best "polynomial" approximation of degree m on $|z| < 1$, which leads to an extension of the classical theorem of Favard, Achieser and Krein in analytic function theory.

INTRODUCTION

Analytic functions U , on the disk $\Delta: |z| < 1$, viewed as harmonic functions, are the "holomorphic" solutions of the equation

$$(1) \quad \partial^2 U / \partial z \partial \bar{z} = 0, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

A natural extension of an analytic function on a disk is given by a solution of the elliptic equation

$$(2) \quad L(W) := \partial^2 W / \partial z \partial \bar{z} - A(|z|^2) |z| \partial W / \partial |z| = 0, \quad z \in \Delta$$

whose admissible coefficients A are real-valued analytic functions on the closure of Δ . Analytic solutions W of eqn. (2) arise when extending univalent function theory [9]. Under suitable coordinate transformations they reduce to conically symmetric potentials [2] which are the radially independent harmonic functions in E^3 ; these also occur in problems in rheology [8,10] and wave mechanics [2].

The classical theorem of Favard, Achieser and Krein [1,4] as well as the "analytic" version by Babenko [4,6] relate the smoothness of the boundary values of a holomorphic function U to the error in the best polynomial approximation of U on the $\partial\Delta$; hence, globally on Δ by the maximum principle. This paper extends these classical results to holomorphic solutions W of eqn. (2). To accomplish this, we apply some properties of holomorphic representations of W developed by Rusheweyh [9] in the study of the univalent function theory of W .

THE DEFINITIONS AND FORMULAE

It follows from I. N. Vekua [10] that a complete set for analytic solutions on Δ relative to the uniform norm, $\|W\|_{L^\infty(\Delta)} = \sup\{|W(z)| : z \in \Delta\}$, is given by the functions

$$(3) \quad W_k(z) = e^{ik\phi} d_{|k|}(r), \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \quad z = re^{i\phi}$$

where the functions $d_k(r)$ are the regular solutions of the following two-point boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} [d^2/dr^2 + \{r^{-1} - 4rA(r^2)\}d/dr - k^2r^{-2}]d_k &= 0, \\ d_k(0) = d_k(1) - 1 &= 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

A complex-valued function W that is twice continuously differentiable on Δ is then an *analytic solution* of eqn. (2) if and only if there exists a sequence of complex constants $\{c_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ such that the expansion

$$(4) \quad W(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ik\phi} d_{|k|}(r)$$

is absolutely and uniformly convergent on compacta of Δ . The *holomorphic solutions* are those analytic solutions that expand as

$$(5) \quad W(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k e^{ik\phi} d_k(r), \quad z = re^{i\phi} \in \Delta.$$

These may be defined as the \star -convolution of a holomorphic associate

$$(6) \quad U(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k, \quad z \in \Delta$$

with the Poisson kernel

$$(7) \quad P_\rho(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k(\rho) z^k, \quad z \in \Delta, \quad 0 < \rho < 1.$$

via the "Hadamard product"

$$(8) \quad W(z) = \tau_A U(re^{i\phi}) = P_r(z) \star U(e^{i\phi}), \quad z \in \Delta$$

The invertible operator τ_A associates a unique holomorphic U with each holomorphic W .

THE BEST POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATION

Let \mathcal{D}_0 designate the set of all holomorphic solutions to Dirichlet problems of the class:

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} L(W) &= 0, \quad z \in \Delta \\ W &= F, \quad z \in \partial\Delta \end{aligned}$$

where W is continuous on the closure of Δ , $cl(\Delta) = \Delta \cup \partial\Delta$; and, in addition

$W \in \{W: D_{\theta}^{n-1} W|_{\partial\Delta} \text{ meets a first order Hölder condition} \} \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} \{W: D_{\theta}^j W \in C(\partial\Delta)\}$.

The specified Hölder condition is

$$\|D_{\theta}^{n-1} W(1, \theta') - D_{\theta}^{n-1} W(1, \theta'')\|_{L^{\infty}[0, 2\pi]} < K \|\theta' - \theta''\|_{L^{\infty}[0, 2\pi]}$$

for all θ', θ'' in $[0, 2\pi)$ and $K > 0$.

The fundamental sets of best approximation are formed from the analytic polynomial solutions of eqn. (2) by

$$(10) \quad T_m = \{S: S(re^{i\phi}) = \sum_{k=-m}^m c_k e^{ik\phi} d_k(r), \{c_{-m}, \dots, c_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

The first objective is to develop from these sets, a generalization of the theorem of Favard, Achieser and Krein [4] which is itself a generalization of the famous theorem of D. Jackson [3] on polynomial approximation. This we accomplish in

THEOREM 1. The mini-max error in the approximation of the class of Dirichlet problems \mathcal{D}_n over the set T_m of analytic polynomials of degree m is given by

$$(11) \quad \beta_{nm} = \text{Sup} \{ \inf \{ \|W-S\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Delta)} : S \in T_m \} : W \in \mathcal{D}_n \}$$

where $\beta_{nm} = K_n / (m+1)^n$ and

$$(12) \quad K_n = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j (n+1) / (2j+1)^{n+1}$$

Furthermore, the extremal function $W_0^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_n$ is of the form

(i) n an odd integer

$$W_0^{(n)}(re^{i\phi}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \right] \sin[(m+1)k\phi] d_k(r)$$

and

(ii) n an even integer

$$W_0^{(n)}(re^{i\phi}) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \cos[(2m+1)k\phi/3] d_k(r).$$

If $U_0^{(n)}$ is any other extremal solution, then

$$U_0^{(n)}(re^{i\phi}) = \lambda W_0^{(n)}(re^{i(\phi-\phi_0)}) + c \text{ where } \lambda = \pm 1,$$

$\phi_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$ and c is a constant.

Proof: The map τ_A provides a natural isomorphism from the linear space of holomorphic solutions U of the Dirichlet problems d_n :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 U / \partial z \partial \bar{z} &= 0, \quad z \in \Delta \\ U &= F, \quad z \in \partial \Delta \end{aligned}$$

$$U \in C(\text{cl}(\Delta)) \cap \{U : D_{\partial \Delta}^{n-1} U|_{\partial \Delta}, \text{ first order Hölder}\} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} \{U : D_{\partial \Delta}^j U \in C(\partial \Delta)\}$$

and the (extended) linear space \mathcal{D}_n .

Therefore, let us define the set of polynomials

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{T}_m = \{s : s(z) = \sum_{k=-m}^m c_k z^k, (c_{-m}, \dots, c_m) \in \mathbb{C}\}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The theorem of Favard, Achieser and Krein established that (1) relative to d_n :

$$(14) \quad \beta_{nm} = \text{Sup} \{ \inf_{L^\infty(\partial \Delta)} \|v-s\| : s \in \mathcal{T}_m \} : U \in d_n \}$$

where β_{nm} is evaluated above and that (2) the extremal solutions are:

$$(i) \quad U_0^{(n)}(1, \theta) = F^{(n)}(\theta) = \text{Sgn}[\cos(m+1)\theta], \quad n\text{-even}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad U_0^{(n)}(1, \theta) = F^{(n)}(\theta) = \text{Sgn}[\sin(m+1)\theta], \quad n\text{-odd.}$$

Any other extremal solution of eqn. (14) has the form $U_0^{(n)}(1, \theta) = \lambda F^{(n)}(\theta - \theta_0) + c$ where $\lambda = \pm 1$, $\theta_0 \in (0, 2\pi)$ and c is a constant.

By the ordinary maximum principal for holomorphic functions $U \in d_n$ it follows that

$$(15) \quad \beta_{nm} \leq \inf_{s \in \mathcal{T}_m} \|U-s\|_{L^\infty[0, 2\pi]} \leq \inf_{s \in \mathcal{T}_m} \|U(\rho e^{i\theta}) - s(\rho e^{i\theta})\|_{L^\infty[0, 2\pi]}$$

$\rho < 1$ with

$$(16) \quad \limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \inf_{s \in \mathcal{T}_m} \|U(\rho e^{i\theta}) - s(\rho e^{i\theta})\|_{L^\infty[0, 2\pi]} = \beta_{nm}$$

by the theorem of Favard, Achieser and Krein. Moreover, the Hopf maximum principal [2,9] shows that

$$(17) \quad \inf_{s \in \mathcal{T}_m} \|W-s\|_{L^\infty[0, 2\pi]} \leq \inf_{s \in \mathcal{T}_m} \|W(\rho e^{i\theta}) - S(\rho e^{i\theta})\|_{L^\infty[0, 2\pi]}$$

To compare the values of $\tau_A U$ and W on $\partial \Delta$, note first the limit $\tau_A S(\rho e^{i\phi}) \rightarrow S(e^{i\phi})$ as $\rho \rightarrow 1$ is uniform in $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$ so that by the Hopf maximum principal

$$(18) \quad \tau_A s(z) = S(z), \quad s \in \mathcal{L}_m, \quad z \in \text{cl}(\Delta).$$

Now to show that the limit $\tau_A U(\rho e^{i\phi}) \rightarrow W(e^{i\phi})$ as $\rho \uparrow 1$ is uniform in $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$, we observe as in [9] that the (Poisson) kernel of τ_A is positive on Δ . Then by an application of the Hopf maximum principal and Korovkin's [3,7,9] famous theorem on positive operators, when the holomorphic function $U \in \mathcal{L}_n$ extends continuously to $\text{cl}(\Delta)$, the transform $W = \tau_A U$ extends continuous to $\text{cl}(\Delta)$. Therefore, the identity

$$(19) \quad \sup_{\mathcal{D}_n} \inf_{\mathcal{T}_m} \|W-S\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Delta)} = \sup_{\mathcal{D}_n} \inf_{\mathcal{L}_m} \|U-s\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Delta)}$$

follows as we have just shown that

$$(20) \quad \|W-S\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Delta)} = \|U-s\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Delta)}, \quad W-S = \tau_A(U-s).$$

In other words the isomorphism $\tau_A: \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ is an isometry, too. The form of the extremal function $W_0^{(n)}$ is found by expanding the τ_A -associated extremal functions $F^{(n)}(\theta)$ with the coefficients identified according to eqn. (5) completing the proof.

We summarize this theorem as follows: the holomorphic solutions of eqn. (9), which are continuous on the $\text{cl}(\Delta)$ and have continuous $(n-1)$ st tangential derivatives along with the n -th tangential derivative bounded by 1, can be approximated by an error of no less than β_{nm} .

The "analytic" version is the generalization of Babenko's theorem [4] which replaces the analytic polynomial approximation by the holomorphic polynomial set

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{V}_m = \{p: p(re^{i\phi}) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k e^{ik\phi} d_k(r), \{c_0, \dots, c_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}\}.$$

$m = 0, 1, \dots$. To study this properly, let H_n designate the holomorphic solutions of \mathcal{D}_n whose n -th tangential derivative is bounded in modulus by one on the $\partial\Delta$. If we apply reasoning from the first theorem to Babenko's theorem, we immediately progress to

THEOREM 2. The mini-max error in the approximation of the class of Dirichlet problems H_n over the set \mathcal{V}_m of holomorphic polynomials of degree m is given by

$$(22) \quad \sup\{\inf\{\|W-P\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Delta)} : P \in \mathcal{V}_m\} : W \in H_n\} = \Gamma(m-n)/\Gamma(m) \quad m \geq n.$$

The holomorphic extremal solutions have the form

$$U_0^{(n)}(z) = c W_{m+1}(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k W_k(z)$$

where c is a constant.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

A variety of elliptic partial differential equations that are found in applications [see 5,8] have solutions that may be represented as (usually integral) transforms of associated analytic or harmonic functions of a single complex-variable. Moreover, on certain regions with Liapunov surfaces for boundaries (in our problem $|z| = 1$) the associate and the solution are identical. Hence, approximation of the associate leads by a maximum principal to global approximation of the solution. This suggests other extensions of the theorem of Favard, Achieser and Krein.

LITERATURE CITED

1. N. I. Achieser and M. G. Krein, *On the best approximation of periodic functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 15 (1937), 107-112.
2. H. Bateman, *Partial Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1932.
3. P. Davis, *Interpolation and Approximation*, Blaisdell Publishing Co., New York, 1963.
4. S. D. Fisher, *Best approximation by polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory, Vol. 21, No. 1 (1977), 43-59.
5. R. P. Gilbert, *Constructive Methods for Elliptic Equations*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 365, Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc., 1974.
6. G. G. Lorentz, *Approximation of Functions*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.
7. G. Meinardus, *Approximation of Functions: Theory and Numerical Methods*, Springer tracts in natural philosophy, Vol. 13, Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc., 1967.
8. V. E. Meister, N. Weck and W. L. Wendland, editors, *Function Theoretic Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 561, Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc., 1976.
9. S. Ruscheweyh, *On the mapping problem for second order elliptic equations in the plane*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 561, Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc. (1976).
10. I. N. Vekua, *New Methods for Solving Elliptic Equations*, North-Holland, 1968.