Survival of Unexploited Raccoons on a Rural Refuge in Southern Illinois

Rachael E. Urbanek, Clayton K. Nielsen, and Shona E. Wilson Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory and Department of Zoology, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL 62901-6504

ABSTRACT

Although raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) have been studied extensively throughout their range, few studies have focused on unharvested populations in rural refuge settings. During 2003-2005, we quantified survival of unexploited raccoons on a rural refuge in southern Illinois. We captured 54 adult females and monitored them for survival via radiotelemetry for 26 months. Similar to other unexploited raccoon populations, survival was 0.80 and did not vary seasonally. Twelve of 54 radiocollared raccoons died during the study; causes of mortality were disease (n = 5), predation (n = 2), vehicle collisions (n = 1), and unknown (n = 4). Elevated survival of raccoons was indicative of highly suitable habitat and protection from harvest. Our study enhances the collective understanding of raccoon demographics and facilitates the construction of population models and management plans for unexploited raccoon populations.

Key words: demography, Illinois, Procyon lotor, raccoon, survival, unexploited

INTRODUCTION

Raccoon (*Procyon lotor*) populations have increased nearly 20-fold during the last 70 years (Zeveloff 2002), reaching overabundant levels in many portions of the species' geographic range. Across its range, high raccoon densities pose a threat to spread diseases such as rabies, canine distemper virus, and raccoon roundworm (*Baylisascaris procyonis*) to humans and wildlife (Mankin et al. 1999, McCleery et al. 2005, Rosatte et al. 2006, Nielsen et al. 2007). Elevated raccoon populations may also affect other wildlife species via increased predation and competition (Urban 1970, McCleery et al. 2005, Smith et al. 2006). Raccoons are also a nuisance species that frequently causes property damage (Curtis et al. 1995, Ikeda et al. 2004, Bluett 2007).

Wildlife biologists study demographic characteristics of raccoon populations to provide information for management. Most demographic studies have been conducted on harvested populations, with survival (Clark et al. 1989, Hasbrouck et al. 1992, Chamberlain et al. 1999) being one of the most researched aspects. Several investigations of unexploited raccoon populations have quantified survival rates (Riley et al. 1998, Gehrt and Fritzell 1999, Prange et al. 2003, Gehrt and Prange 2006). However, most of these studies have occurred in urban or suburban settings (Riley et al. 1998, Gehrt and Fritzell 1999, Prange et al. 2003) with one on a wildlife refuge in the Gulf Coastal Plain of Texas characterized by long hot summers and mild winters (Gehrt and Fritzell 1999).

Little information exists about raccoons in rural, protected, refuge settings that are relatively free of the human influence found in urban environments. We quantified survival of an unexploited raccoon population on a state-managed waterfowl refuge in a bottomland hardwood forest-agricultural ecosystem in the Mississippi River floodplain of southern Illinois. Our goal was to provide wildlife biologists with information useful for modeling and managing raccoon populations in floodplain ecosystems where harvest is nonexistent. We predicted that raccoon survival rates on our study area would be higher than those reported for exploited populations due to harvest protection and high-quality habitat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

Research was conducted on the Union County Conservation Area (UCCA), a wildlife refuge located in the Lower Mississippi River bottomlands division in southwest Illinois (UTM Zone 16 4140000N, 290000E). The UCCA encompassed 2,510 ha of which 550 ha were lakes and permanent and seasonal wetlands. Dominant aquatic vegetation in wetlands and lakes were buttonbush (*Cephalanthus occidentalis*), elodea (*Elodea spp.*), and water lily (*Nymphaea spp.*). In addition, 770 ha were bottomland hardwood forests with portions seasonally flooded. Dominant tree species included green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvancia*), black willow (*Salix nigra*), and cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*) in wet areas, with sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), pecan (*Carya illinoensis*), and pin oak (*Quercus palustris*) in drier areas. A total of 1190 ha consisted of agricultural fields, primarily planted in corn, soybeans, winter wheat, and milo.

Capture and Handling

Raccoon capture activities were conducted on a 197-ha portion of the UCCA. We livecaptured raccoons during 4 periods: 6 October-4 December 2003, 8 March-16 April 2004, 26 September-10 December 2004, and 2 March-10 April 2005. During each trapping period, 40 wire cage traps (30x30x70 cm; Schroeder Fur Co., Grand Island, NE) were set along linear transects adjacent to water or field edges, and spaced at 100-m intervals. We baited traps with commercial cat food and checked them each morning during 0700-1100 hr.

We anesthetized captured animals with an intramuscular injection of Telazol (5mg/kg) based on an initial estimation of the animal's weight (Gehrt et al. 2001). Anesthetized animals were sexed and classified as either juveniles or adults (>1 yr) based on weight, body size, and condition of dentition (Grau et al. 1970). Mortality-sensing radiocollars (120 g; Wildlife Materials, Inc., Carbondale, Illinois, USA) were placed on selected females considered to be of an adequate size (> 3.5 kg), and all animals were ear-tagged. We released all raccoons at their capture site. Research was conducted in adherence with American Society of Mammalogists' guidelines (Animal Care and Use Committee 1998, Gannon et al. 2007) and a university-approved animal welfare protocol (Southern Illinois University Carbondale Animal Assurance #A-3078-01).

During 6 October 2003-1 November 2005, adult female raccoons were monitored for survival weekly during daytime hours (0700-1700 hr) using a TS-1 receiver and scanner (Telonics, Inc., Mesa, Arizona, USA) and a 3-element Yagi antenna. Radiocollars emitted a different signal pulse following 12 hours of inactivity; all deceased raccoons were

located by tracking these signals and mortalities were necropsied immediately following detection. We broadly classified causes of raccoon mortality into 4 categories: disease, predation, vehicle accident, and unknown. Raccoons that died within 2 weeks of collaring (n = 3) were not included in the analysis. Raccoons whose radiocollars failed (n = 3) were censored from the analysis.

Survival Analysis

We used a known fates model in program MARK (Cooch and White 2007) to estimate survival of adult female raccoons. We developed a set of 4 a priori models based on age, year, and season. We generally followed Prange et al. (2003:326-327) in separating the year into 4 seasons based on raccoon biology and general weather patterns. The pregnancy-parturition season (hereafter, spring) was during 1 March-30 May and characterized by warming weather and herbaceous and deciduous vegetation growth. The post-parturition season (hereafter, summer) was during 1 June-30 Aug and characterized by juveniles beginning to move with their mother during long, hot days and peak vegetation. The period when juveniles were weaned and began to move independently of their mother (hereafter, fall) was defined as 1 September-30 November. Leaf fall and cooling temperatures occurred during this interval. The period when raccoons were largely inactive, weather was cold, and herbaceous and deciduous vegetation reached senescence (hereafter, winter) was defined as 1 December-30 February.

Currently, there is no goodness-of-fit test for known-fate models in program MARK because each model is presumed saturated, and thus believed to fit the data perfectly (Cooch and White 2007). Therefore, we conducted a sensitivity analysis of the variance inflation factor (\hat{c}) by adjusting \hat{c} in increments (0.25) from 1 (model fits the data) to 3 (model is overdispersed) and examined the model ranks for change (Brasher et al. 2006). If change in model order occurred after \hat{c} was adjusted, we compared the model set with \hat{c} set as 1 (model set 1) to the model set with the highest \hat{c} (model set 2) that showed stability in the order. We tested each model independently for its influence on survival by comparing the model's ΔAIC_c to a model that held survival constant (Cooch and White 2007). We estimated survival rates and 95% confidence intervals using averages from models <2 ΔAIC_c points from the most parsimonious model (Cooch and White 2007). If 2 model sets resulted after \hat{c} adjustments, we compared the estimates and 95% confidence intervals from the most parsimonious model set.

RESULTS

We captured 279 different raccoons (83 adult females, 122 adult males, 35 juvenile females, and 39 juvenile males) 391 times in 6,023 trap-nights. We monitored 54 adult female raccoons for survival during 20,761 radio-days. We recorded 12 mortalities attributable to disease (n = 5; 42%), unknown sources (n = 4; 33%), predation (n = 2; 17%), and vehicle collisions (n = 1; 8%). The model order remained the same when \hat{c} was adjusted, suggesting no overdispersion of the data nor a necessity for additional model sets. The most parsimonious model held survival constant and the model set provided no evidence for variation in survival by season (Table 1). However the model S(year) was approximately $\leq 2 \Delta AIC_c$ and had a lower deviance than S(constant), offering slight evidence for an annual effect on survival (Table 1). Based on the most parsimonious model, annual survival was 0.80 (95% CI=0.70-0.90).

Model	AIC_{c}^{a}	ΔAIC_c	w_i^b	K^{c}	Deviance
S(constant)	121.56	0.00	0.71	1	21.07
S(year)	123.56	2.01	0.26	3	19.05
S(season)	127.59	6.04	0.03	4	21.06
S(season x year)	133.17	11.62	0.00	9	16.42

Table 1. Survival models for unexploited raccoons in southern Illinois, USA, 2003-2005.

^a Models were ranked using Akaike's Information Criterion corrected for small sample size (AIC_c).

^bAkaike model weight.

^c No. of parameters estimated.

DISCUSSION

Harvest is commonly the most significant mortality factor in exploited raccoon populations (Sanderson 1961, Johnson 1970), accounting for \geq 78% of raccoon deaths (Clark et al. 1989). However, in unexploited raccoon populations, disease is generally the most common cause of mortality (Gehrt et al. 1990, Roscoe 1993, Riley et al. 1998, Gehrt and Fritzell 1999). We found a similar percentage of raccoons dying from disease as other studies of unexploited raccoons and observed a comparable percentage of vehicle-collision mortalities to harvested populations studied by Clark et al. (10%; 1989) and Hasbrouck et al. (6%; 1992).

In the absence of anthropogenic factors, natural mortality agents have little effect on most raccoon populations (Johnson 1970, Gehrt and Fritzell 1999), thus protected raccoon populations tend to have higher survival rates than exploited populations. Within the literature, there is a wide range of adult female survival rates reported for unexploited raccoon populations (0.57 - 0.88), and estimates derived in our study fell within that range (Table 2). As expected, adult female survival on the UCCA was higher than those adult survival rates presented in studies of harvested raccoon populations (Table 2). Compared to harvested populations that combined sexes and age classes for analysis, female raccoon survival at UCCA appeared higher than Mankin et al. (1999) and Pitt et al. (2004) (Table 2).

Similar to other studies of unexploited populations (Riley et al. 1998, Gehrt and Fritzell 1999, Prange et al. 2003), we found no seasonal differences in raccoon survival. These results were unsurprising given that most seasonal differences in survival can be attributed to harvest (Clark et al. 1989, Brown et al. 1990, Hasbrouck et al. 1992). The slight evidence for a year effect on survival was likely attributable to natural fluctuations in annual survival rates observed by other researchers (Hasbrouck et al. 1992, Gerht and Fritzell 1999, Prange et al. 2003).

Source (state/province)	Adult survival ^a	Harvested/ protected	Site description
Clark et al. (1989), IA	0.47-0.75	Harvested	Agriculture
Brown et al. (1990), PA	0.67-0.69	Harvested	Forested game lands
Hasbrouck et al. (1992), IA	0.53	Harvested	Agriculture
Riley et al. (1998), DC	0.71-0.73	Protected	Urban deciduous forest
Chamberlain et al. (1999), MS	0.50 ^b	Harvested	Bottomland hardwood, mixed pine-hardwood forests
Gehrt and Fritzell (1999), TX	0.84	Protected	Gulf plains, prairies, marshes
Mankin et al. (1999), IL	0.74 ^c	Harvested	Agriculture, pasture, shrub, forest
Mosillo et al. (1999), IL	0.70-0.73	Protected	Mature upland forest, old field, mesic grassland
Prange et al. (2003), IL	$0.63-0.88^{b}$	Protected	Commercial, industry
-	$0.57-0.74^{b}$	Protected	Forest, agriculture, grassland
	$0.59-0.80^{b}$	Protected	Wetland, grassland, savanna
Pitt et al. (2008), Saskatchewan	0.51-0.84°	Harvested	Agriculture
This study, IL	0.80^{b}	Protected	Bottomland hardwood forest

Table 2. Selected annual survival estimates for raccoons across the species' range, 1989-2008.

^a Survival rates are for both sexes combined, unless otherwise noted.

^bAdult female survival rate.

^c Study combined both sexes and age classes into one survival rate.

Our survival estimate was higher than the only other study found in the literature that examined survival of adult female raccoons in a bottomland hardwood forest environment (Chamberlain et al. 1999; Table 2). Chamberlain et al. (1999) also noted a significantly lower overall survival estimate during their breeding-gestation (1 Feb-31 May) period (0.65) compared to their parturition-young rearing (1 Jun-30 Sep) period (0.93), which was most likely due to harvest. After an exploratory analysis adjusting our data to Chamberlain's seasons, we still could conclude no seasonal differences in adult female survival.

We found only 3 records of survival estimates of Illinois raccoons based on radiotelemetry data: Mankin et al. (1999), Mosillo et al. (1999), and Prange et al. (2003). Our survival estimate fell within the ranges reported by both Mankin et al. (1999) and Prange et al. (2003), even though the population Mankin et al. (1999) studied was harvested. Unlike many harvested populations studied, Mankin et al. (1999) observed no notable increase in juveniles harvested in comparison to adults which may account for the similar survival estimates to protected raccoon studies. Our survival estimate was higher than those presented by Mosillo et al. (1999), which may be a result of better habitat. Raccoons were studied by Mosillo et al. (1999) in to a forest preserve dominated by a mature upland forest while our raccoons resided in mature bottomland hardwood forest with ample wetlands.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding was provided by the Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory and Graduate School at Southern Illinois University Carbondale, and the Illinois State Furbearer Fund distributed by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. We acknowledge the Illinois Department of Natural Resources for additional support and access to the study site. We also acknowledge S. Kerwin, B. Nance, and numerous volunteers for assistance in the field, R. Bluett for logistical support, and an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments on a previous draft of this manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bluett, B. 2007. Wildlife damage management in Illinois—2006 summary. Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Wildlife Diversity Program, Springfield, Illinois, USA.
- Brasher, M. G., T. W. Arnold, J. H. Devries, and R. M. Kaminski. 2006. Breeding-season survival of male and female mallards in Canada's prairie-parklands. Journal of Wildlife Management 70:805-811.
- Brown, C. L., C. E. Rupprecht, and W. M. Tzilkowski. 1990. Adult raccoon survival in an enzootic rabies area of Pennsylvania. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 26:346-350.
- Chamberlain, M. J., K. M. Hodges, B. D. Leopold, and T. S. Wilson. 1999. Survival and causespecific mortality of adult raccoons in central Mississippi. Journal of Wildlife Management 63:880-888.
- Clark, W. R., J. J. Hasbrouck, J. M. Kienzler, and T. F. Glueck. 1989. Vital statistics and harvest of an Iowa raccoon population. Journal of Wildlife Management 53:982-990.
- Cooch, E., and G. White. 2007. Program MARK: a gentle introduction. Sixth edition. Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.
- Curtis, P. D., M. E. Richmond, P. A. Wellner, and B. Tullar. 1995. Characteristics of the private nuisance wildlife control industry in New York. Proceedings from the Eastern Wildlife Damage Control Conference 6:49-57.
- Gannon, W. L., R. S. Sikes, and the Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists. 2007. Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild animals in research. Journal of Mammalogy 88:809-823.
- Gehrt, S. D., D. L. Spencer, and L. B. Fox. 1990. Raccoon denning behavior in eastern Kansas as determined from radio-telemetry. Translations of the Kansas Academy of Science 93:71-78.
- Gehrt, S. D., and E. K. Fritzell. 1999. Survivorship of a nonharvested raccoon population in south Texas. Journal of Wildlife Management 63:889-894.
- Gehrt, S. D., L. L. Hungerford, and S. Hatten. 2001. Drug effects on recaptures of raccoons. Wildlife Society Bulletin 29:833-837.
- Gehrt, S. D., and S. Prange. 2006. Interference competition between coyotes and raccoons: a test of the mesopredator release hypothesis. Behavioral Ecology 18:204-214.
- Grau, G. A., G. C. Sanderson, and J. P. Rogers. 1970. Age determination of raccoons. Journal of Wildlife Management 34:364-372.
- Hasbrouck, J. J., W. R. Clark, and R. D. Andrews. 1992. Factors associated with raccoon mortality in Iowa. Journal of Wildlife Management 56:693-699.
- Ikeda, T., M. Asano, Y. Matoba, and G. Abe. 2004. Present status of invasive alien raccoon and its impact in Japan. Global Environmental Research 8:125-131.
- Johnson, A. S. 1970. Biology of the raccoon (*Procyon lotor varius Nelson and Goldman*) in Alabama. Agricultural Experiment Station, Auburn University Bulletin 402, Auburn, Alabama, USA.
- Mankin, Ph. C., C. M. Nixon, J. B. Sullivan, T. L. Esker, R. G. Koerkenmeier, and L. L. Hugerford. 1999. Raccoon (*Procyon lotor*) survival in west-central Illinois. Transactions of the Illinois State Academy of Science 92:247-256.

- McCleery, R. A., G. W. Foster, R. R. Lopez, M. J. Peterson, D. J. Forrester, and N. J. Silvy. 2005. Survey of Raccoons on Key Largo, Florida, USA, for *Baylisascaris procyonis*. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 41:250-252.
- Mosillo, M. E., E. J. Heske, and J. D. Thompson. 1999. Survival and movements of translocated raccoons in northcentral Illinois. Journal of Wildlife Management 63:278-286.
- Nielsen, C. K., A. Defore, and E. Bade. 2007. Survey of *Baylisascaris procyonis* and canine distemper virus in southern Illinois raccoons. Transactions of the Illinois State Academy of Science 100:169-176.
- Pitt, J. A., S. Lariviere, and F. Messier. 2008. Survival and body condition of raccoons at the edge of the range. Journal of Wildlife Management 72:389-395.
- Prange, S., S. D. Gehrt, and E. P. Wiggers. 2003. Demographic factors contributing to high raccoon densities in urban landscapes. Journal of Wildlife Management 67:324-333.
- Riley, S. P. D., J. Hadidian, and D. A. Manski. 1998. Population density, survival, and rabies in raccoons in an urban national park. Canadian Journal of Zoology 76:1153-1164.
- Rosatte, R., K. Sobey, D. Donovan, M. Allan, L. Bruce, T. Buchanan, and C. Davies. 2006. Raccoon density and movements after population reduction to control rabies. Journal of Wildlife Management 71:2373-2378.
- Roscoe, D. E. 1993. Epizootiology of canine distemper in New Jersey raccoons. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 29:390-395.
- Sanderson, G. C. 1961. Techniques for determining age of raccoons. Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Illinois Natural History Survey 45:1-16, Urbana, Illinois, USA.
- Smith, H. T., W. E. Meshaka, Jr., R. M. Engeman, S. M. Crossett, M. E. Foley, and G. Bush. 2006. Raccoon predation as a potential limiting factor in the success of the green iguana in southern Florida. Journal of Kansas Herpetology 20:7-8.
- Urban, D. 1970. Raccoon populations, movement patterns, and predation on a managed waterfowl marsh. Journal of Wildlife Management 34:372-282.
- Zeveloff, S. I. 2002. Raccoons: a natural history. Smithsonian Institute Press, Washington, D. C., USA.